Application No. Applicant(s) 10/659.598 SEIBERG ET AL. Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit SHIRLEY V. GEMBEH 1628 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) SHIBLEY V. GEMBEH. (3) _____. (2) Andrea Colbv. (4) . Date of Interview: 8/22/11. □ Telephonic □ Video Conference Type: Personal [copy given to: applicant applicant's representative ΠNo. If Yes, brief description: . Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion) Claim(s) discussed: 83. Identification of prior art discussed: N/A. Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...) Called Applicant about changing the word "therefor" to "thereof" in line 2 of claim 83 Applicant agreed to the change...

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items letted in MEPP 713.0 4 for complete and proper recordation including intensification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentiability and the general transfer refundament file intensified an indication as to attend the patential responsable for the instruction.

Attachment		
/SHIRLEY V GEMBEH/		

Examiner, Art Unit 1628

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-413B (Rev. 8/11/2010)